Form revised: February 26, 2014

FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
Parks and Recreation	MaryLou Whiteford/684-7388	Forrest Longman/684-0331

Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE relating to the Department of Parks and Recreation, concerning the West Duwamish Greenbelt, finding that an exchange of property between the City of Seattle and West Seattle Acquisition Co., L.L.C., owner of property adjacent to park property, is necessary, as required by Ordinance 118477, also known as Initiative 42; authorizing the Superintendent of Parks and Recreation to accept a deed from West Seattle Acquisition Co., L.L.C., for certain property meeting the criteria of Initiative 42; and authorizing the Superintendent to execute and record a Quit Claim Deed for certain City-owned West Duwamish Greenbelt property to West Seattle Acquisition Co., L.L.C., all to eliminate an existing private, non-park use of park property.

Summary of the Legislation:

This legislation authorizes a land trade exchanging currently held greenbelt property that has an existing private, non-park use for comparable, unencumbered private property, contiguous to other park property in the West Duwamish Greenbelt.

Background:

Greenbelt property acquired by the City, under authority of Ordinance 88399 (July 1959) as tax title property from King County, was transferred to the jurisdiction of the Parks Department in 2000 (Ordinance 119826) for open space, park and recreation purposes. While the property was held under the jurisdiction of the Building Department in 1980, that department issued a permit allowing a driveway across the City property to adjacent private property (4704 – 14th Avenue SW), which is now being redeveloped. The steep terrain and Environmentally Critical Area designation make development of abutting unopened street rights-of-way infeasible.

An equal land exchange is proposed that meets all requirements of Initiative 42 and results in the driveway being located on private property.

X This legislation does not have any financial implications.

Other Implications:

- a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications? $_{\mbox{No}}$
- b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation? There is none.
- c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department? No.
- d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?

There are none.

- e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation? Yes.
- f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?
 No.
- g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

Yes, park land will be exchanged for equivalent property in the immediate area. See attached exhibits illustrating property to be exchanged.

h) Other Issues:

There are none.

List attachments to the fiscal note below:

Attachment A: Map of Land Exchange

Attachment B: Aerial View of Land Exchange Property