FILED

11 MAY 19 PM 2:13

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK E-FILED CASE NUMBER: 11-2-18083-2 SEA

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

PAUL ANDERSON and MARK WEBSTER, individuals; LARRY LEE BENSON, an individual: ANTHONY BOHORFOUSH and CYNTHIA BOHORFOUSH, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; ROBERT BUSSMAN and JENNIFER FARLEY, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; JERRY CHINN and KATHLEEN STONE, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; JAMES CISSELL and LINDA JOHNSON, husband and wife, and the martial community thereof; RANDY COMSTOCK and KAREN METRO, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; STEVAN DAGG and MARY BETH DAGG, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; KATHERINE DEE and SHIREEN KHAN, individuals; EDWARD DOUGLAS and KAY DOUGLAS, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; R. ALAN HARDWICK and BARBARA L. BOLLERO, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; DAVID WILLIAM HIGGINS and SUSAN JACOBS-HIGGINS, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; HARRIET HUSBANDS, an individual; KRISTI ANN JONES, an individual; HELEN KARL, an individual; LESLIE LOEB and VICKI PARDEE, individuals; MARTIN LYONS and MERRILL

No.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - 1

LYONS, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; CHARLES MARSHALL

> TERRELL MARSHALL DAUDT & WILLIE PLLC 936 North 34th Street, Suite 400 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869 TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.350.3528 www.tmdwlaw.com

1	and SARAH K. BARNETT, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof; JUDI
2	O'HURLEY, an individual; MARK S. OSKIN,
3	an individual; LILA RICHARDS, an individual; JOHN ROBERTS and BARBARA
4	NEWELL, husband and wife, and the marital
	community thereof; STEVEN SCHWARTZ
5	and PAMELA SCHWARTZ, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof;
6	JOSEPH SEEFELDT, an individual; RICK
7	TAMAELA, an individual; MICHAEL J. WAYTE and JACQUELINE BAKER,
8	husband and wife, and the marital community
9	thereof; MICHAEL WINTER and RUTHI WINTER, husband and wife, and the marital
	community thereof; RICHARD ZAHNISER
10	and CHERYL ZAHNISER, husband and wife,
11	and the marital community thereof,
12	Plaintiffs,
13	vs.
14	CITY OF SEATTLE, a Washington municipal
15	corporation; PETER C. SALADINO and
16	JANE DOE SALADINO, husband and wife, and the marital community thereof,
17	Defendants.
18	
19	
20	The Plaintiffs, through their attorney of record, allege as follows:
21	I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE
22	1.1 Plaintiffs Paul Anderson and Mark Webster are the owners of the residential
23	property located at 6509 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
24	1.2 Plaintiff Larry Lee Benson is the owner of the residential property located a
25	6322 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
26	,,

- 1.3 Plaintiffs Anthony Bohorfoush and Cynthia Bohorfoush are the owners of the residential property located at 6021 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.4 Plaintiff Jennifer Farley is the owner of the residential property located at 6024 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136 where she resides with Plaintiff Robert Bussman.
- 1.5 Plaintiff Jerry Chinn is the owner of the residential property located at 5933 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136 where he resides with Plaintiff Kathleen Stone.
- 1.6 Plaintiffs James Cissell and Linda Johnson are the owners of the residential property located at 6033 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.7 Plaintiffs Randy Comstock and Karen Metro are the owners of the residential property located at 6037 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.8 Plaintiffs Stevan Dagg and Mary Beth Dagg are the owners of the residential property located at 6019 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.9 Plaintiffs Katherine Dee and Shireen Khan are the owners of the residential property located at 6321 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.10 Plaintiff Edward L. Douglas, Jr. is the owner of the residential property located at 6363 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136 where he resides with Plaintiff Kay Douglas.
- 1.11 Plaintiffs R. Alan Hardwick and Barbara L. Bollero are the owners of the residential property located at 6312 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.12 Plaintiff Susan Jacobs-Higgins is the owner of the residential property located at 5949 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136 where she resides with Plaintiff David William Higgins.
- 1.13 Plaintiff Harriet Husbands is the owner of the residential property located at 6321 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1.14 Plaintiff Kristi Ann Jones is the owner of the residential property located at 6365 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.15 Plaintiff Helen Karl is the owner of the residential property located at 6041 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.16 Plaintiffs Leslie Loeb and Vicki Pardee are the owners of the residential property located at 6330 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.17 Plaintiffs Martin Lyons and Merrill Lyons are the owners of the residential property located at 6053 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.18 Plaintiffs Charles Marshall and Sarah K. Barnett are the owners of the residential property located at 5919 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.19 Plaintiff Judi O'Hurley (Vogt) is the owner of the residential property located at 6049 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.20 Plaintiff Mark S. Oskin is the owner of the residential property located at 6309 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.21 Plaintiff Steven J. Richards is the owner of the residential property located at 6044 Atlas Place SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136 where he resides with Lila Richards.
- 1.22 Plaintiffs John Roberts and Barbara Newell are the owners of the residential property located at 6319 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.23 Plaintiffs Steven Schwartz and Pamela Schwartz are the owners of the residential property located at 5953 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.24 Plaintiff Joseph Seefeldt is the owner of the residential property located at 5926 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.25 Plaintiff Rick Tamaela is the owner of the residential property located at 5960Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.26 Plaintiffs Michael J. Wayte and Jacqueline Baker are the owners of the residential property located at 5948 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.

- 1.27 Plaintiffs Michael Winter and Ruthi Winter are the owners of the residential property located at 6031 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.28 Plaintiffs Richard Zahniser and Cheryl Zahniser are the owners of the residential property located at 5915 Beach Drive SW, Seattle, Washington, 98136.
- 1.29 Defendant, City of Seattle, is a municipal corporation located in King County, State of Washington.
- 1.30 Defendants Peter C. Saladino is the owner of the residential property located at 6067 Atlas Place Southwest, Seattle, Washington, 98136, King County Assessor File Number 7935000600.
- 1.31 Defendant City of Seattle is the owner of the unopened right-of-way named Gordon Place that is at issue in this litigation.
- 1.32 This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because they own property in and are physically located in King County, Washington.
- 1.33 Venue is proper because King County is the location of the parties, the subject properties and the events giving rise to this lawsuit.

II. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

2.1 Pursuant to RCW 4.96.020, Plaintiffs filed their claims for tort damages with the City of Seattle on February 8, 2011. Sixty days have elapsed since filing the claims. Therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to bring this action against the municipality and this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the claims.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

3.1 Atlas Drive SW is located, generally, to the east and upslope from Beach Drive SW in West Seattle. The subject residential properties with Beach Drive SW addresses lie, generally, to the west and downslope of Beach Drive SW.

- 3.2 The residential properties of Plaintiffs Lyons and O'Hurley extend from Atlas Drive SW on the east, downslope to Beach Drive SW on the west, and are bisected by an unopened and unimproved right-of-way that runs north to south in that area. The right-of-way, known as Gordon Place, is approximately 40 feet in width and is owned by the City.
- 3.3 The residential property of Defendants Saladino is immediately south of the Lyons property and occupies a triangle of land at the intersection of Atlas Drive SW and Beach Drive SW. As with the Lyons and O'Hurley properties, it slopes down from Atlas Drive SW to Beach Drive SW and is bisected by the City's Gordon Place right-of-way. Defendant Saladino developed the property with a residence beginning with geotechnical engineering investigations in 2000. Plans for development were submitted to the City for approval in 2002. These plans do not depict the fill wedge eight feet thick that was actually placed on the slope during construction. The residence was completed by Defendants Saladino in 2007-08.
- 3.4 The City initially opened Beach Drive SW some time prior to 1933. Its work in widening that road undercut the toe of the slope extending down from Atlas Place SW. In the winter of 1933-34, a large landslide occurred above Beach Drive SW as a result of that undercutting.
- 3.5 Another large landslide occurred above Beach Drive SW in 1998. Investigation by multiple engineering firms retained by the City revealed that the main scarp was the same as in the 1933-34 landslide. The engineers described the landslide as a deep-seated block above Beach Drive with the toe of the block at approximately roadway level.
- 3.6 Another large landslide occurred above Beach Drive SW in December 2007 during construction of the Saladino residence. Saturation of the fill soil mass caused the sliding of accumulated debris on Beach Drive SW and the development of a scarp just west of the new house that dropped as much as six feet. The affected land included the unopened City right of way known as Gordon Place, private property belonging to Defendant Saladino,

and Beach Drive SW. The City admitted that it had the responsibility for repairing and stabilizing Gordon Place. At the same time, the City issued a number of Notices of Violation and Citations against the Saladinos, eventually filing a lawsuit in Municipal Court against them on May 21, 2009, under Cause No. 09-031. That matter was filed 2 years and 5 months after the 2007 landslide and has not yet resolved.

- 3.7 Another landslide occurred on January 12, 2009. A scarp over 300 feet long developed west of the properties at 6049, 6053 and 6067 Atlas Place SW. The vertical drop of the scarp ranged from three to eight vertical feet. Minor scarps in the right-of-way descended two to six feet.
- 3.8 The slide mass in the January 2009 slide initially accumulated on the shoulder of Beach Drive SW. It continued west into the northbound lanes of Beach Drive SW in the following days and impacted as much as 210 feet of the roadway. The roadway was closed for a period of time as a result of the slide mass intrusion.
- 3.9 Engineers retained by the City following the January 2009 slide classified the slide as a multiple rotational slide and opined that it was a re-activation of the deep-seated landslides of former years. The causes of the slide included improper placement of fill on the slope, historically marginal stability, and uncontrolled surface water drainage. The engineers made a variety of recommendations, including that deep borings should be performed to inform temporary repairs prior to a permanent remediation. Permanent repair options were set out, including a rock buttress, a retaining wall along Beach Drive SW and multiple retaining walls stepping up the slope. The City did not perform any of the permanent repair options.
- 3.10 In January 2011, heavy rains caused further sloughing on the hillside. Beach Drive SW was again closed because of mud and water seeping from the hillside. Several motor vehicle accidents resulted from the accumulated material and ice on the roadway.

3.11 Pursuant to Section 22.150.010 of the Seattle Municipal Code (SMC), the City has adopted portions of the International Residential Code as the Seattle Residential Code (SRC). On January 12, 2011, the City issued Hazard Correction Orders ("Orders") to Plaintiffs O'Hurley (File No. 1024699) and Lyons (File No. 1024700), alleging that their premises were unsafe due to a landslide adversely affecting the stability of the hillside and structures. The Orders required Plaintiffs O'Hurley and Lyons to provide a geotechnical engineers' evaluation and recommendation for repairs and stabilization of the entire hillside to the City Department of Planning and Development (DPD), and to submit a complete application to DPD for stabilizing the hillside and any repairs to the structures, obtain permits, and comply with the City's special inspections program.

- 3.12 The Orders did not state that pursuant to R103.10.1, there was an administrative review process available. Also, the Orders gave no notice that pursuant to R102.2 in lieu of correction, the Plaintiffs could provide an engineer's report stating that building, structure or premises are safe. Finally, the Orders cited to a section of the code that does not exist.
- 3.13 Each of Plaintiffs' residential properties has been adversely affected by the ongoing instability of the slope between Atlas Place SW and Beach Drive SW.
- 3.14 Atlas Place SW and Beach Drive SW are City streets. Upon information and belief, Atlas Place SW does not have adequate surface water drainage facilities. Due to the lack of adequate drainage, surface water that is collected and concentrated along the roadway flows without adequate control onto the steep slope between Atlas Place SW and Beach Drive, further destabilizing the slope. Surface water also overflows the drains and curbs and flows onto the properties of Plaintiffs west of Beach Drive SW. In addition, the lack of surface water drainage has deteriorated the asphalt and allows water to infiltrate into the subgrade, causing subsidence and separation of the roadway, curbs and sidewalks in the area.

3.15 Upon information and belief, the roadbed of Atlas Place SW is acting as a conduit for groundwater that adversely affects the properties of Plaintiffs.

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION

A. Failure to Enforce – As to Defendant City of Seattle

- 4.1 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 4.2 The City owed Plaintiffs a duty to enforce its codes and regulations and require Defendant Saladino to, *inter alia*: comply with applicable building codes; utilize safe practices in developing a steep slope with a known history of instability; avoid placing fill on a steep slope; and take action after landslides to temporarily and/or permanently correct the slope instability.
- 4.3 The City breached its duty by failing to enforce its codes and regulations, thereby allowing a hazardous and unstable condition of property to develop, worsen and continue unabated.
- 4.4 The foregoing breach of duty by the City directly and proximately caused damage to the Plaintiffs' properties, as described in this Complaint.
- 4.5 The City is liable to the Plaintiffs for damages in an amount that will be established at trial.

B. Negligence – As to All Defendants

- 4.6 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 4.7 Defendants owed Plaintiffs a duty to maintain the condition of their properties such that they did not negatively affect the neighboring properties of Plaintiffs.
- 4.8 Defendants breached this duty by allowing their properties to become unstable and failing to take steps to stabilize their properties following repeated landslide events.

3

1011

12

13

1415

16

1718

20

21

19

22

2324

25

26

- 4.9 The foregoing breach of duty by the Defendants directly and proximately caused damage to the Plaintiffs' properties, as is described in this Complaint.
- 4.10 Defendants are liable to the Plaintiffs for damages in an amount that will be established at trial.

C. Lateral Support – As to All Defendants

- 4.11 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 4.12 Defendants have a constitutional and common law duty to the Plaintiffs to maintain the lateral support for Plaintiffs' real properties naturally provided by Defendants' real properties.
- 4.13 Defendants' actions in modifying and failing to remediate known slide conditions on the steep slope between Atlas Place SW and Beach Drive SW breached their duty to provide lateral support to some of the Plaintiffs' properties.
- 4.14 Defendants' breaches of duty caused damage to Plaintiffs in an amount that will be established at trial.

D. Nuisance and Continuing Nuisance – As to All Defendants

- 4.15 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 4.16 Through their actions Defendants have created or perpetuated a nuisance that has damaged the Plaintiffs' properties and unreasonably interfered with Plaintiffs' use and enjoyment of their properties, as described in this Complaint.
- 4.17 To the extent that this nuisance is deemed public in nature, it has been damaging the Plaintiffs in a manner different from, and to a degree greater than, the public at large.
- 4.18 Defendants are liable for the Plaintiffs' damages from this nuisance, both past and continuing.

4.19 Defendants are also subject to an order by the Court requiring that the nuisance be permanently abated.

E. Trespass and Continuing Trespass – As to All Defendants

- 4.20 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 4.21 Defendants have caused or perpetuated a trespass by allowing mud, debris and water to invade and damage the Plaintiffs' properties.
- 4.22 Defendants are liable for the Plaintiffs' damages, both past and continuing, caused by this trespass in an amount that will be established at trial.
- 4.23 Defendants are also subject to an order by the Court enjoining their continuing trespass.

F. Strict Liability: Artificial Diversion of Surface Waters – As to Defendant City of Seattle

- 4.24 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 4.25 The City gathers, collects, and concentrates surface water runoff from its streets and diverts that runoff to the storm water drainage systems for Atlas Place SW and Beach Drive SW.
- 4.26 The City has long known that its storm water drainage systems are overwhelmed by the diverted run off.
- 4.27 The overflows from the City's storm water drainage systems flow onto the steep slope between Atlas Place SW and Beach Drive SW, further destabilizing the area. The overflows also flow from the City's storm water drainage systems onto the properties of Plaintiffs, causing damage.
- 4.28 The overflows also infiltrate into the subgrade supporting the City's streets, curbs and sidewalks, causing subsidence and separation.

4.29 The City is strictly liable for the damages sustained by Plaintiffs resulting from this diversion, in an amount that will be established at trial.

G. Strict Liability: Collection, Concentration and Channeling of Surface Waters – As to Defendant City of Seattle

- 4.30 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 4.31 The City's streets and storm water drainage systems act to collect, concentrate, channel and cast surface water toward and onto the Plaintiffs' properties in a volume greater than, and in a manner different from, the natural flow regime.
- 4.32 The City has long known that its streets and storm water drainage systems act to collect, concentrate, channel and cast surface water onto the steep slope between Atlas Place SW and Beach Drive SW, further destabilizing the area.
- 4.33 The City's actions with regard to its storm waters also causes the destruction of the subgrade supporting the City's streets, curbs and sidewalks, causing subsidence and separation.
- 4.34 The City is strictly liable or was negligent, or both, and is responsible for the Plaintiffs' damages resulting from this collection, concentration, channeling, and casting of surface water in an amount to be proven at trial.

H. Strict Liability: Failure to Provide a Proper Outflow for Channeled Surface Waters – As to Defendant City of Seattle

- 4.35 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 4.36 The City had a duty to provide a proper outflow for that surface water runoff it collects, gathers, concentrates, channels and diverts to the storm water drainage systems for Atlas Place SW and Beach Drive SW. The City, instead, allowed the surface water run off to overwhelm the drainage systems and invade Plaintiffs' properties.

- 4.37 This has caused the destruction, destabilization, subsidence and diminution in value of Plaintiffs' properties.
- 4.38 Defendant is strictly liable or was negligent, or both, and is responsible for the Plaintiffs' damages resulting from the lack of a proper outflow.

I. Injunction against Continuing Trespass and Continuing Nuisance – As to All Defendants

- 4.39 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 4.40 As owners of residential properties, Plaintiffs have a clear legal or equitable right to full use of their properties.
- 4.41 Plaintiffs have experienced an invasion of that right, and have a well-grounded fear that their rights will continue to be invaded by the acts or omissions of Defendants.
- 4.42 The acts and omissions of Defendants herein complained of have caused actual and substantial injury to the Plaintiffs' properties. These damages are immediate, concrete, and specific. Should Defendants' acts and omissions continue Plaintiffs will experience additional and increasing substantial damage to their properties, or a substantial risk thereof.
- 4.43 The uniqueness of Plaintiffs' properties makes damages an inadequate compensation for their losses. Any remedy at law would also not be sufficient because the injury is of a continuing nature.
- 4.44 Plaintiffs request that the Court order Defendants to repair their properties to a stable condition, and take steps to preserve their properties from future instability.

J. Violation of Plaintiffs O'Hurley and Lyons' Procedural Due Process Rights -- As to Defendant City of Seattle

4.45 The allegations set forth in Section III of this Complaint are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.

- 4.46 Plaintiffs O'Hurley and Lyons have a right against erroneous and/or excessive monetary penalties.
- 4.47 The City's Orders deprived Plaintiffs O'Hurley and Lyons of their right against erroneous and/or excessive monetary penalties.
- 4.48 The Orders failed to notify Plaintiffs of their rights to an administrative review of the Orders and failed to provide notice that in lieu of a correction, the Plaintiffs could provide an engineer's report stating that building, structure or premises are safe. The Orders do not cite to the applicable City Codes governing the Orders. Instead, the Orders state that a penalty not to exceed \$500.00 per day may be imposed from the date the violation occurs until compliance is achieved.
- 4.49 The City's failure to notify Plaintiffs O'Hurley and Lyons of their right to seek administrative review or provide an engineer's report in lieu of correction, while threatening to impose penalties up to \$500.00 per day, violates their procedural due process protections by failing to inform them of an opportunity to be heard before the imposition of monetary penalties.
 - 4.50 The Orders cited to a non-existent provision of the Seattle Residential Code.
- 4.51 Providing clear notice of the ability to seek administrative review or a letter from an engineer in lieu of correction would not place an undue burden on the City.
- 4.52 Citing the correct section of the Seattle Residential Code would not impose an undue burden on the City.
- 4.53 The City's failure to provide Plaintiffs the due process has caused them damages in an amount that will be established at time of trial.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against the Defendants for the damages alleged above and for relief including, but not limited to:

A. Damages in an amount to be proven at trial;