1 09 APR 23 PH 2: 39 2 KING COUNTY 3 SUPERIOR COURT CLERK. SEATTLE, WA. 4 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON 6 IN AND FOR KING COUNTY 7 8 AERO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., a NO.09-2-16775-3 SEA Washington corporation, 9 Plaintiff. 10 SUMMONS [20-DAY] v. 11 LEDCOR CONSTRUCTION, INC., a SUSAN J CRAIGHEAD 12 Washington corporation; FAUNTLEROY PLACE, LLC, a Washington limited liability corporation: WHOLE FOODS MARKET 13 PACIFIC NORTHWEST, INC., a foreign 14 corporation; HANCOCK FABRICS, INC., a foreign corporation; SEATTLE CAPITAL CORPORATION, a Washington corporation; 15 D'AMATO, CONVERSANO, INC., a Washington corporation doing business as DCI 16 ENGINEERS; KLEINFELDER WEST, INC., a foreign corporation; CLEARCREEK 17 CONTRACTORS, INC., a Washington corporation; CORE DESIGN, INC., a 18 Washington corporation; SYSTECH ENVIRONMENTAL CORPORATION, a 19 foreign corporation; MALCOLM DRILLING 20 COMPANY, INC., a foreign corporation; GONSALVES & SANTUCCI, INC., a foreign corporation doing business as CONCO; MERIT 21 ELECTRIC, INC., a Washington corporation, 22 Defendants. 23 TO: THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT

SUMMONS - 1

x:\client\00836\8538 fauntleroy\pld\summons.doc

Stanislaw Ashbaugh 701 FIFTH AVE., SUITE 4400 SEATTLE, WA 98104 T. 206.386.5900 F. 206.344,7400

SUMMONS - 2 x:\client\00836\8538 fauntleroy\pld\summons.doc

A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled Court by Aero Construction Company, Inc., the Plaintiff in this action. Plaintiff's claim is stated in the written Complaint, a copy of which is served upon you with this summons.

In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the Complaint by stating your defense in writing, and serve a copy upon the undersigned attorney for the Plaintiff within 20 days after the service of this Summons, if served upon you within the State of Washington or 60 days if served upon you outside the state of Washington, including the day of service, or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where Plaintiff is entitled to what has been asked for because you have not responded. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned attorney, you are entitled to notice before a default judgment may be entered.

You may demand that the Plaintiff file this lawsuit with the Court. If you do so, the demand must be in writing and must be served upon the undersigned attorney for the Plaintiff at the address stated below. Within 14 days after you serve the demand, the Plaintiff must file this lawsuit with the Court, or the service on you of this Summons and Complaint will be void.

If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

This Summon is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Superior Court Civil Rules of the State of Washington.

DATED this 23rd day of April, 2009.

STANISLAW ASHBAUGH, LLP

John S. Riper, WSBA #11161

Tymon Berger, WSBA #39979 Attorneys for Plaintiff

1 FILED 2 09 APR 23 PM 2: 39 3 KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK SEATILE, WA. 4 5 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON 7 IN AND FOR KING COUNTY 8 AERO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., a NO. 109-2-16775-3 SEA 9 Washington corporation, 10 Plaintiff. COMPLAINT 11 v. LEDCOR CONSTRUCTION, INC., a 12 Washington corporation; FAUNTLEROY PLACE, LLC, a Washington limited liability 13 corporation: WHOLE FOODS MARKET PACIFIC NORTHWEST, INC., a foreign 14 corporation; HANCOCK FABRICS, INC., a foreign corporation; SEATTLE CAPITAL 15 CORPORATION, a Washington corporation; D'AMATO, CONVERSANO, INC., a 16 Washington corporation doing business as DCI ENGINEERS: KLEINFELDER WEST, INC., a 17 foreign corporation; CLEARCREEK CONTRACTORS, INC., a Washington 18 corporation; CORE DESIGN, INC., a 19 Washington corporation; SYSTECH ENVIRONMENTAL CORPORATION, a 20 foreign corporation; MALCOLM DRILLING COMPANY, INC., a foreign corporation; GONSALVES & SANTUCCI, INC., a foreign 21 corporation doing business as CONCO; MERIT 22 ELECTRIC, INC., a Washington corporation, Defendants. 23

21

22

23

1

Plaintiff Aero Construction Company, Inc. ("Aero") alleges as follows:

1. Aero is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Washington and licensed as a construction contract by the Washington State Department of Labor and Industries. Aero has performed all necessary prerequisites for maintaining this action.

2. This action seeks foreclosure of lien rights on real property commonly known as Parcel #09526007175 and 09526007265, located on the north side of SW Alaska Street between 40th Avenue SW and 39th Avenue SW, in Seattle, King County, Washington (the "Property"). The legal description for the Property is:

Parcel #09526007175:

Lots 17 through 24, inclusive, Block 55, BOSTON CO.'S PLAT OF WEST SEATTLE, according to the plat thereof recorded in Volume 3 of Plats, Page(s) 19, records of King County, Washington;

Except that portion of said Lot 24 condemned in King County Superior Court Cause Number 70682, as provided for by Ordinance Number 21302 of the City of Seattle.

Parcel #09526007265:

Lots 10 through 24, inclusive, Block 56, BOSTON CO.'S PLAT OF WEST SEATTLE, according to the plat thereof recorded in Volume 3 of Plats, Page(s) 19, records of King County, Washington;

Except those portions of said Lots 23 and 24 condemned in King County Superior Court Cause Number 70682 and 93059, as provided for by Ordinance Numbers 21302 and 29063, respectively, of the City of Seattle.

- 3. Defendant Ledcor Construction, Inc. ("Ledcor") is a Washington corporation doing business in King County, Washington. Ledcor was the prime contractor for the construction of improvements to the Property. Ledcor has a recorded a claim of lien against the Property.
- 4. Defendant Fauntleroy Place, LLC ("Fauntleroy") is a Washington limited liability company. Fauntleroy owns an interest in the Property and contracted with Ledcor for the construction of improvements to the Property.

- 5. Defendant Whole Foods Market Pacific Northwest, Inc. ("Whole Foods") is a foreign corporation doing business in King County, Washington. Whole Foods is believed to have a leasehold interest in the Property.
 - 6. Defendant Hancock Fabrics, Inc. ("Hancock Fabrics") is a foreign corporation doing business in King County, Washington. Hancock Fabrics is believed to have a leasehold interest in the Property.
 - 7. Defendant Seattle Capital Corporation ("SCC") is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business in Seattle, King County, Washington. SCC is believed to be the beneficiary of a deed of trust in the Property.
 - 8. Defendant D'Amato, Conversano, Inc. ("DCI Engineers") is a Washington corporation doing business in King County, Washington as DCI Engineers. DCI Engineers has recorded a claim of lien against the Property.
 - 9. Defendant Kleinfelder West, Inc. ("Kleinfelder") is a California corporation doing business in King County, Washington. Kleinfelder has recorded a claim of lien against the Property.
 - 10. Defendant Clearcreek Contractors, Inc. ("Clearcreek") is a Washington corporation doing business in King County, Washington. Clearcreek has a recorded claim of lien against the Property.
 - 11. Core Design, Inc. ("Core Design") is a Washington corporation with their principal place of business in Bellevue, King County, Washington. Core Design has a recorded claim of lien against the Property.
 - 12. Systech Environmental Corporation ("Systech") is a Delaware corporation doing business in King County, Washington. Systech has a recorded claim of lien against the Property.

13	•	Malcolm	Drilling	Company,	Inc.	("Malcolm")) is a	California	corporation	doing
ousiness i	n Kir	ng County	, Washin	gton. Malco	lm ha	as a recorded	claim	of lien agair	ist the Proper	cty.

- 14. Gonsalves & Santucci, Inc. ("Conco") is a California corporation doing business in King County, Washington as Conco. Conco has a recorded claim of lien against the Property.
- 15. Merit Electric, Inc. ("Merit") is a Washington corporation doing business in King County, Washington. Merit has a recorded claim of lien against the Property.
- 16. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties to this suit. This suit is properly venued in King County.
- 17. On information and belief, under the terms of Fauntleroy's leases with Whole Foods and Hancock Fabrics, Fauntleroy was obligated to order improvements to Whole Foods' and Hancock Fabrics' leasehold interests. Fauntleroy ordered these improvements from Ledcor.
- 18. Ledcor acted as Fauntleroy's construction agent in ordering Aero to make improvements to the Property, including improvements that benefited Whole Foods' and Hancock Fabrics' leasehold interests.
- 19. Aero contracted with Ledcor to furnish labor, materials, and equipment in the construction of improvements to the Property, including improvements to the interests held by Fauntleroy, Whole Foods, and Hancock Fabrics.
- 20. Ledcor materially breached its contract with Aero, including but not limited to failing to pay Aero for work Aero performed. As a result of Ledcor's breach, Aero is entitled to damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but no less than \$810,662 plus interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.
- 21. Ledcor was unjustly enriched by Aero's work. Aero is entitled to restitution in an amount to be determined at trial, but no less than \$810,662.

John S. Riper, WSBA #1 Tymon Berger, WSBA #39979 Attorneys for Plaintiff

23